

February 15, 2001

Mr. Jesús Toscano, Jr. Administrative Assistant City Attorney City of Dallas 1500 Marilla Street Dallas, Texas 75201

OR2001-0584

Dear Mr. Toscano:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 144239.

The City of Dallas (the "city") received a written request for all records pertaining to the temporary lease agreement between the city and Dynamic Cable/Reata Construction Corporation ("DCR"), DCR's license with the city for the drilling of fiber optic cable, and the rupture of a water main on September 9, 2000. You state that much of the requested information has been released to the requestor. You contend, however, that certain documents are excepted from required public disclosure pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

To secure the protection of section 552.103(a), a governmental body must demonstrate that the requested information relates to pending or reasonably anticipated litigation to which the governmental body is a party. Open Records Decision No. 588 (1991). Additionally, the governmental body must demonstrate that the litigation was pending or reasonably anticipated as of the day it received the records request. Gov't Code § 552.103(c). The mere chance of litigation will not trigger section 552.103(a). Open Records Decision No. 452 at 4 (1986). To demonstrate that litigation is reasonably anticipated, the governmental body must furnish concrete evidence that litigation involving a specific matter is realistically contemplated and is more than mere conjecture. *Id*.

In Open Records Decision No. 638 (1996), this office determined how a governmental body must establish reasonably anticipated litigation when relying solely on a claim letter. We stated that the governmental body must 1) show that it has received a claim letter from an allegedly injured party or his attorney and 2) state that the letter complies with the notice of claim provisions of the Texas Tort Claims Act, chapter 101 of the Civil Practice and Remedies Code, or applicable municipal statute or ordinance.

You have submitted to this office for our review correspondence that you characterize as a notice of claim letters addressed to the city regarding property that was damaged as the result of the broken water main. Furthermore, you have represented to this office that the notices of claim satisfy the notice provisions provided in the Texas Tort Claims Act and in the city charter. Because the city received the notices of claim prior to receiving the current records request, we conclude that you have demonstrated that the city reasonably anticipated litigation regarding this matter on the day the city received the records request. We further conclude that the records at issue "relate" to that litigation for purposes of section 552.103 of the Government Code.

This does not end our discussion, however, as to whether the airport may withhold all of the information at issue pursuant to section 552.103. Section 552.022(a) of the Government Code provides in pertinent part:

Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:

. . . .

(3) information in an account, voucher, or contract relating to the receipt or expenditure of public or other funds by a governmental body.

After reviewing the information at issue, we conclude that the "interim invoice" you submitted as Exhibit D constitutes information made public under section 552.022(a)(1). Accordingly, the city must release this invoice to the requestor. However, the city may withhold the remaining documents contained in Exhibits B and C pursuant to section 552.103 of the Government Code.

In reaching this conclusion, however, we assume that the opposing parties to the anticipated litigation have not previously had access to the records contained in Exhibit B and C; absent special circumstances, once information has been obtained by all parties to the litigation, *e.g.*, through discovery or otherwise, no section 552.103 interest exists with respect to that information. Open Records Decision Nos. 349 (1982), 320 (1982). We also note that the

applicability of section 552.103 ends once the litigation or likelihood thereof has concluded. Attorney General Opinion MW-575 (1982); Open Records Decision No. 350 (1982).

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the General Services Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. Although there is no statutory deadline for

contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Burns

97/10,00/Br

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

MJB/RWP/seg

Ref: ID# 144239

Encl. Submitted documents .

cc: Mr. John H. Carney

John H. Carney & Associates 6700 North Central Expressway

Dallas, Texas 75206 (w/o enclosures)